Table A2. Sensitivity analysis IV: Different definitions of the practice population at risk

	Annual general practice referrals to the NHS DPP					
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)
QOF Diabetes achievement [†]	1.13***	1.13***	1.11***	1.13***	1.13***	1.11***
	[1.10,1.16]	[1.10,1.16]	[1.08,1.14]	[1.10,1.16]	[1.10,1.16]	[1.08,1.14]
Proportion of patients reporting good experience making an appointment [†]	1.00	1.00	1.01	1.00	1.00	1.01
	[0.98,1.03]	[0.97,1.03]	[0.98,1.04]	[0.98,1.03]	[0.97,1.03]	[0.98,1.04]
Log payment per patient [†]	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99	0.99
	[0.96,1.02]	[0.96,1.02]	[0.97,1.02]	[0.96,1.02]	[0.96,1.02]	[0.97,1.02]
Population at risk	Aged 15+	Aged 15+	Aged 15+	Aged 18+	Aged 18+	Aged 18+
		minus patients	minus patients		minus patients	minus patients
		diagnosed	diagnosed		diagnosed	diagnosed
		with T2D	with T2D		with T2D	with T2D
Including practice T2D prevalence as control variable	No	No	Yes	No	No	Yes
Ν	22124	22124	22124	22124	22124	22124
N_g	6871	6871	6871	6871	6871	6871
Log-likelihood	-190803.8	-190928.4	-190905.3	-190816.3	-190948.3	-190924.7

Poisson regression with practice random effects. \dagger Coefficients on these variables represent a one standard deviation change in the explanatory variables. Models also include additional practice covariates. 95% confidence intervals in brackets. QOF: Quality and Outcomes Framework. $\dot{p} < 0.05$, $\ddot{p} < 0.01$, $\ddot{p} < 0.001$