Figure 1: Safer Dx Tool

SaferDX 1001: Screening for Diagnostic Error						
The history that was documented at the patient-provider encounter was suggestive of an alternate diagnosis, which was not considered in the assessment						
O Strongly agree	○ Agree	O Sightly agree	O Slightly disagree	○ Disagree	O Strongly disagree	
The physical exam documented at the patient-provider encounter was suggestive of an alternate diagnosis, which was not considered in the assessment						
O Strongly agree	○ Agree	○ Slightly agree	OSlightly disagree	○ Disagree	O Strongly disagree	
Diagnostic testing data (laboratory, radiology, pathology or other results) associated with the patient-provider encounter were suggestive of an alternate diagnosis, which was not considered in the initial assessment.						
O Strongly agree	○ Agree	OSlightly agree	OSlightly disagree	○ Disagree	O Strongly disagree	
The diagnostic process at the initial assessment was affected by incomplete or incorrect clinical information given to the care team by the patient or their primary caregiver						
O Strongly agree	○ Agree	○ Slightly agree	OSlightly disagree	○ Disagree	O Strongly disagree	
The clinical information (i.e., history, physical exam or diagnostic data) present at the initial assessment should have prompted additional diagnostic evaluation through tests or consults.						
O Strongly agree	○ Agree	OSlightly agree	OSlightly disagree	○ Disagree	O Strongly disagree	
Alarm symptoms or "Red Flags" (i.e., features in the clinical presentation that are considered to predict serious disease) were not acted upon at an earlier assessment						
O Strongly agree	○ Agree	OSlightly agree	OSlightly disagree	○ Disagree	O Strongly disagree	
Diagnostic data (laboratory, radiology, pathology or other results) available or documented at the initial assessment were misinterpreted in relation to the subsequent final diagnosis.						
O Strongly agree		○ Slightly agree	Slightly disagree	○ Disagree	O Strongly disagree	
The differential diagnosis documented at the initial assessment included the subsequent final diagnosis.						
O Strongly agree	○ Agree	OSlightly agree	OSlightly disagree	○ Disagree	O Strongly disagree	
The final diagnosis was an evolution of the initial presumed diagnosis						
O Strongly agree	○ Agree	→ Slightly agree	OSlightly disagree	○ Disagree	O Strongly disagree	
The clinical presentation was not typical of the final diagnosis.						
O Strongly agree	○ Agree	OSlightly agree	OSlightly disagree	○ Disagree	O Strongly disagree	
In conclusion, based on all the above questions, the episode of care under review had a diagnostic error						
○ Yes ○ No						
If a diagnostic error, what is the clinical impact?			 No impact at all Minor (patient inconvenience or dissatisfaction) Moderate (short-term morbidity, increased length of stay, or invasive procedure) Major (death, permanent disability, near 			
			life-	threatening ev	ent)	

Figure 2: Diagnostic Error Evaluation and Research (DEER) Taxonomy survey

DEER Taxonomy for Categorizing Diagnostic Error				
1. Access/Presentation	☐ Failure/delay in presentation☐ Failure/denied care access			
2. History	 ☐ Failure/delay in eliciting a critical piece of history data ☐ Inaccurate/misinterpreted critical piece of history data ☐ Suboptimal weighing of a critical piece of history data ☐ Failure/delay to follow-up on a critical piece of history data 			
3. Physical Examination	 □ Failure/delay in eliciting a critical physical exam finding □ Inaccurate/misinterpreted critical physical exam finding □ Suboptimal weighing of a critical physical exam finding □ Failure/delay in following up on a critical physical exam finding 			
4. Tests (Laboratory/Radiology)	Failure/delay in ordering needed test(s) Failure/delay in performing ordered test(s) Suboptimal test sequencing Ordering of wrong test(s) Test ordered wrong way Sample mix-up/mislabel Technical errors/poor processing of specimen/test Erroneous laboratory/radiology reading of test Failed/delayed transmission of result to clinician Failed/delayed follow-up action on test result Erroneous clinician interpretation of test			
5. Assessment	☐ Failure/delay in considering correct diagnosis ☐ Suboptimal weighing/prioritizing ☐ Too much weight to lower probability/priority diagnosis ☐ Failure/delay to recognize/weigh urgency ☐ Failure/delay to recognize/weigh complications			
6. Referral/Consultation	Failure in ordering referral/calling consult Failure/delay in consult completion or obtaining/scheduling ordered referral Error in diagnostic consultation performance Failure/delayed communication/follow-up consultation			
7. Follow-up	☐ Failure to refer patient to close/safe setting/monitoring ☐ Failure/delay in timely follow-up/rechecking of patient			